## TABLE OF CONTENTS

BROUNDS 5 - LOSS AND DESTRUCTION	· 1
FEUIDENCE, OVE PROCESS UPLAYOUS	2
STATEMENT OF FACTS - PAGES 1-12	_ ,a
	_ 4
	_ 5
	<u>     6                               </u>
	7
	_ 8
	9
	_ 10
	_ 11
	12
	_ 13
	14
	_ 15 _
	16
	-17
	18
	19
	2C
	21
	22
	23
	_ 24
	_ 25
	26
	27
	2E
	25
	3c
	~~

GROUNDS 5 - LOSS AND DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE IN VIOLATION OF 1 PETITIONERS. U.S. FEDERALLY QUARANTEED 14TH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSES DUE TO THE 3 OSS AND ALLEGED INADVERDENT DESTRUCTION OF THE 4 ALLEGED 911 TARES ON 3-19-04 BY THE E.C. POLICE 5 DEFENSE HAD FILED AND SERVED A MOTION FOR 6 THE PROSECUTION WHO 7 STATE PROSECUTION FAILED TO 8 STATEMENT OF FACTS. ON 19 MARCH 04 9 WHILE STANDING IN THE THRESHOLD OF HIS 10 MANAGER'S DOORWAY MR. HELSEL AT #6, AT425,E 11 WAIN ST. E. C. CA. 92020 PETITIONER WAS AL CALLED 911 TO REPORT AN UNUSUAL OCCURANCE 13 IN HIS REASONABLE EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY INHIS PRIVATE PLACE IN HIS RESIDENTIAL APARTMENT COMPLEX 15 UN REASONABLE AND EXCESSIVE 16 BY OFFICER HOLINES 17 PULLED A'HOT Stop" AUTHORITY. 18 RMED PETITIONER WITH NO C 19 THE POLICE WitHow OSESSION, 20 CAUSE, OR INTERENCE SEIZED 21 OF HIS US. CONST. FEDERALLY 22 SPECIFICALLY AND STIFE 23 PROTECTION CLAUSESINGE PACE I RT. EXCERPT 177, 24 LINES 1-7, 11,14,15,16. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT ( 24 MARCH 05 ?) 25 PEOPLE? MS. HANNAH -- CIRCUMSTANCES AND THE RESULT OF THE INCIDENT THAT 26 OCCURED ON MARCH 19TH OF LAST YEAR; WHE THER THE ISSUE-THE WHOLE 27 QUESTION OF THE TRIAL IS WHETHER MR. BURTON IS THE PERSON THAT COMMITTED 28

MITHE (ALLEGED) CRIME, NOT WHETHER OR NOT MR THOMAS IS IN FACT, A VICTIM -OF A CALLEGED CRIME. MS. HAWNA: BUT THE PEOPLE CAN CERTAINLY REFER TO MR. THOMAS AS MR. THOMAS, THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SEE EXHIBIT'S PAGEY, RT EX CERPT 888, LINES 9-28-THE COURTI-- I WENT THE OUGH MY NOTES TO REVIEW IT MR. THOMAS -- HE ADMITTED QUITE A BIT TOO, SO YOUR INTENSION AND YOUR PURPOSE IS TO SHOW HIS CHARACTER FOR VIOLENCE AND ALSO PERHAPS DISHONESTY, HE HAS ADMITTED VARIOUS CONVICTION, HE'S ADMITTED THAT HE FOUGHT A LOT WITH MS. CLARK, HE ADMITTED SHOUING HER HE-9 AS TO THE STALKING INCIDENTS, HE ADMITTED SOME OF THOSE NCWENTS INCLUDING THROWING ORJECTS AT THE WINDOW, CALLING ON THE 11 PHONE, MAKING MULTIPLE CALLS, HE DISPUTED THE DATE, BUT HE AGREED HE DID THAT HE AGREED THAT HE HAD TOLD HER THAT IF HE DIDN'T GET THE CAR BACK, HE HAD A BULLET WITH HER NAME ON IT-SO THERE ARE- THROUGH HIS OWN ADMISSIONS, AND THEN AS TO -- LET'S SEE, 15 I THINK HE'S STILL TALKING ABOUT MS. CLARK WHEN HE SAYS THAT THEIR RELATIONSHIP WAS STORMY; THAT HEWAS PHYSICAL A COUPLE OF TIMES, MAYBE GO TO 70 ARGUMENTS; CHOKEDHER IN 93; HAS A PROBLEM WITH HIS TEMPER SO I THINK HE'S ADMITTED SOME OF THAT, AND I'LL ALLOWYOU TO IMPEACH HIM ADDITIONALLY, SEE EXHIBITB, PAGE 17, R. T. EXCERPT882. LINES, 1, 6-24, THE COURT 1250 AND 12517 MR. ADAIR; THE OTHER 22 THING IS, IT ALSO SORT OF TIES INTO MY REQUEST TO HAVE THE 23 DECLARATIONS IN THE CIVIL RESTRAINING ORDER PROCEEDINGS IN THIS 24 COURT THAT TOOK PLACE WHERE MR. BURTON DID EXPRESS THE 25 FACT THAT HE HAD BEEN THREATENED AND THAT HE WAS AFRAID 26 OF THIS PERON ( MEANING MR. THOMAS) THE COURT; SO THAT WOULD BE 27 THE DECLARATION IN THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE -- MR. ADAIR: YES 28 FOUR HONOR. THE COURT THAT WAS FILED ON FEBRUARY 20TH OF OYP

MR ADAIR; YES, YOUR HONOR, AND THEN THERE IS NOT QUITE AN ELABORATE A DECLARATION, BUT SOMETHING SIMILIAR IN THE CHILD CUSTODY DECLARATION IN A DIFFERENT PROCEEDING IN THIS COURT. THE COURT: AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE CUSTODY ECLARATION THAT HE FILED AGAINST ANGELA -- MR. ADAIR: SANDERS THE COURT: SANDERS. SEE EXIBIT B", PAGE 18, RTEXCERAT 883, LINES 4-8,12,13,16,18,25-28, THE COURT; ALLRIGHT, LETMENUST LOOK AT THE DECLARATION HE FILED IN THE CIVIL HARASSMENT CASE, SO I THE NATURE OF HIS COMPLAINTS INCLUDE THREATS OF PHYSICAL HARM AND DEATH OVER THE PHONE; WHILE APPROACHING HIS VEHICLE, -- OFAY, MR. TROCHA, YOUR RESPONSE P MR. TROCHA: I THINK WE COVERED THIS IN -- IT'S THE DEFENDANT FIL ING PAPER WORK WITH THE COURT AND -- TO PROVE TO TRUTH THAT HE WAS THREATENED DESPITE THE -- A PIFFERENT STORY, THE COURT! ALL RIGHTO I'LL CONSIDER THAT -- I'LL LOOK ATTHE CASELAW INVOLVING 1250 AND 125/ SEE EXHIBIT"B"PAGE 23, RTEXCERPT 329 LINES, 4-10, 20-237 MR. ADAIR! WELL WE'VE REQUESTED PHOTOGRAPHS I THINK IT STARTED WITH -- WITH THE PRIOR ATTORNEY ON THE CASE 19 APPROXIMATELY A YEAR AGO REQUESTING PHOTOGRAPHS, AND THEY-20 FIVE OWN EUB-THAT WAS IN THE FORM OF, I THINK, A CETTER AND 21 LAN ACTUAL FILED MOTION THAT WAS NEVER HEARD, "A DISCOVERY MOTION THAT WAS LAST YEAR. I FOLLOWED UP WITH A ETTER. THE COURT: MR. TROCHA, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO SAY 24 IN RESPONSE? MR. TROCHA! I DON'T KNOW THE HISTORY OF THE ALOTOGRAPHS OR ANYTHING "THE COURT: OKAY, SEE. SEE EXHIBIT'S" 26 PAGE 20, RTEXCERPT 1235, LINES 1,5-11, 13-28, STATES, EL CAJON, CALIFORNIA 27 FRIDAY, 7/29/05; 3:07 P.M. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT: MR BURTON, WHAT IS THE BASIS 28 OF THIS MARSDEN MOTION? THE DEFENDANT : YES, IT'S INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE

OF COUNSEL - IT DEPRIVATED MY SIXTH AMENDMENTRIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE DEFENSE BY NOT PROVIDING THE COURT WITH PERTINENT INFORMATION THAT HE HAD KNOWN ABOUT THAT HE DID NOT PRESENT TO THE COURT .-- WITNESSES, -- ALSO, HE FAILED TO FILE THE APPROPRIATE MOTIONS THAT NEEDED TO BE FILED -- A MOTION WHERAS MY DUE PROCESS WAS UIDLATED BY PROSECUTIONS FAILURE WITHIN A TIMELY MANNER TO PROVIDE DE FENDANT WITH DISCOVERY, HE FAILED TO FILE THE APPROPRIATE MOTIONS TO DISMISS DUE TO DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS THIS IS A LETTER THAT MR. PLUM MER HAD SENT TOMS, HANNAH REQUESTING DISCOUERY, AND ANOTHER LETTER WHERE DECUERY-THE COURT WAS INFORMED THAT THE PROSECUTION HAD ALREADY RECEIVED THE MOTION REQUESTING, UNDER BRADY DISCOVERY. PROSECUTION DID NOT RESPOND, -- AND I WOULD LIKE THE TRIER OF FACT TO RECONSIDER -- TO RE-RUCE ON MY MOTIONS. SEE EXHIBIT B, PAGE 21, RTEXCERPT 1236, LINES 2-11-13, 14-20 THE COURT: WELL, ACTUALLY, MR. BURTON, LET ME STOP YOU RIGHT THERE DOES YOUR ENUELOPE PERTAIN TO YOUR EARLIER MOTIONS? THE DEFENDANT; YES, IT DOES, MAAM, THE COURT: ACCRIGHT, -- AS I TOLD YOU WHEN YOU RAISED YOUR MARSDEN MOTION, I BELIEVE, ON ZI THE FIRST DAY OF TRIAL, YOU HAD RAISED ABOUT TEN POINTS, NINE OF THEM -- RAISED PRIOR TO THE FIRST DAY OF TRIAL -- ONE OF THOSE 3 HAD TO DO WITH DISCOVERY ISSUES I FIND THAT AT THIS POINT RAISING NEW DISCOVERY ISSUES OR ANY THING RELATING TO 25 MOTIONS THAT YOU PREVIOUSLY HAVE RAISED REALLY DOESN'T PERTAIN TO A BASIS TO RELIEVANGEOUNSEL ENDRUM YOUR COUNSEL AT THIS STAGE. -- AND IT'S DENIED, SEE EXHIBIT A PAGESS RT. LINES 8-10, 12-16 MR, ADAIR FINE, THANK EXCERPT, 1242

HONOR, YOUR HONOR, THE FIRST ISSUE, I BELIEVE AS RAISED IN OUR PAPERS ARE MR. BURTON'S FARETTA MOTION, -- HE MAILED IT TO THE COURT ON OR ABOUT JULY 7TH OF THIS YEAR BEFORE TRIAL SHATED -- AND IT'S OUR CONTENTION THAT THAT NEEDED TO BE RULED ON. SEE EXHIBIT'B" PAGE 87, RTEXCERPT 1243, LINES 14, 16, 18-24, SEE ALSO EXHIBIT'S PAGE 88, RT EXCERPT 1245, LINES 18-24 SEE ALSO EXHIBIT'B" PAGE 89, RTEXCERPT 1246, LINES 1-28-21 OCTOS DAY OF SENTENCING - MUTION FOR NEW TRIAL) MR. ADAIR ", THE SITUATION REALLY WOULD GIVE RISE TO A -- ACQUITAL, FOR ALL THOSE REASONS AND PARTICULARLY THE REASONS THAT IT IS IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, THIS IS THE KIND OF THING THAT COULD AFFECT AJURY, MOST PROBABLY WOULD AFFECT A JURY, WE'RE ASTING FOR A NEW TRIAL THE COURT, OKAY, THANK YOU, MR. TROCHA WOULD YOU CARE TO RESPONDER THE COURT BRIEF REALY! MR. TROCHA: MR BURTON HAS A DOCUMENT, WHICH -- IT'S A COPY, I GUESSIOF THE DOCUMENT, WHICH HE HAD FILED APPARENTLY BACK IN NULY, I BELIEVE IT APPEARS TO BE MAILED TO THE COURT AND PART OF MANY 193UES THAT HE RAISES IN THE DOCUMENT APPEARS TO BE A FARETTA MOTION. MR. TROCHA! THERE DOES APPEAR TO BE A FILE STAMP ON THE ENVELOPE, THE COURT? WHATEVER HAS BEEN FILED WITH THE COURT WOULD BE IN THE COURT FILE. MR. ADAIR : COULD I HAVE THIS FILED AS AN 22 EXHIBIT, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT! THE PURPOSE OF IT BEING? IT IS WIR BURTON'S REPRESENTATION THAT THIS 19 THE DOCUMENT HE FILED WITH THE COURT? MR-ADAIR', YES YOUR HONOR . THE COURT! WELL 26 WHY DON'T YOU LET ME LOOK AT IT. IT MAY BE THAT WE HAVE ITIN L'COUNSEL HANDS DOCUMENT TO THE COURTY

THE COURT ALL RIGHT THAT DOCUMENT IS IN THE COURT FILE AND THERE IS AN ENVELOPE PRECEDING THE DOCUMENT WHERE THE ENUELOPE IS FILE-STAMPED JULY 7TH. SO WE DONITNEED TO HAVE ANOTHER COPY, AND THAT, JUST FOR THE RECORP, IS A DOCUMENT WHICH IS IN HANDWRITTING IN PENCI AT THE BEGINNING WHEN DE ROW OF FOUNTS AND SOM NOTICE OF MOTION, AND MOTION TO DISMISS BE CAUSE DENIAL OF RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS, SIXTH, FOUNTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENT UIOLATIONS" AND THEN ITGOES ON WHERE ANOTHER THREE OR FOUR INCHES OF-RAISING OTHER ISSUES, AND IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT ON THE FRONT PAGE THERE IS A REFERENCE TO THE WORD "FARETTA" (PROSE) SO I B THINK THAT MAKES THE RECORD AS TO WHAT WAS FILED SEE EXHIBIT A" PAGE 90, RT. EXCERPT 1247, LINES 2-15, 19-20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, LET ME DEAL FIRST WITH THE ISSUE OF RELATING TO THE CLAIM OF FARETTH, FIRST OF ALL, I AM NOT SURETHAT WOULD REALLY BURNAPROPRIATELY BE GROUNDS FOR IN A NEW TRIAL MOTION AS OPPOSED TO AN 19 SUE FOR WHICH THERE MAYOR MAY NOT BE AN APPELLATE 13SUE TORAISE, BUT IN ANY EVENT, I WOULD BE DENYING IT FOR THE FOLLO WING REASON 21 - THE PAPERWORK WAS SENT BY MA, BURTON TO THE COURT ON ZZEITHER JULY 7TH OR JULY 8TH, BOTH DATES APPEAR ON THE ENVELOPE THE NEXT COURT HEARING THAT WE HAD AFTER THAT DATE DAY OFTRIAL, AND ITWAS NOT CALLED TO THE COURTS TENTION THAT THERE WAS ANY PENDING FARETTA ISSUE 26 ANY TIME HE HAD WANTED THE COURT TO RULE ON THE FARETTA MOTION, HE CERTAINLY WOULD HAVE HAD THAT OPPORTUNITY. SEE NOW EXHIBITIA! PAGE. 91 RT. EXCERPT 1248, LINES 14-17 THE COURT!

THE TRIAL -- I DON'T FEEL THAT THAT WAS BROUGHT tO THE COORT IN SUFFICIENT FASHION FOR THE COURT TO RULE, AND THEREFORE, THAT GROUND FOR A MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL IS DENIED. SEE EXHIBITA PACE 19, RT. EXCERPT 183, (MARSDEN 16 MARCHOS) LINES 19-21, 24-28, SEE ALSO EXHIBITA PAGE 20, LINES 178, 10-12, 14, 15, 17-21,24,26,27. 6 THE COURT: OKAY NOW, -- TELL ME SOMETHING ELSE BEYOND THIS VIOLATION OF ATTORNEY/ELIENT PRIVILEGE, THE COURT: IT'S NOT A CHATAL CASE, THE DEFENDANT WELL, IT HAS TO DEAL WITH THE RESTOR MY LIFE, AND I FEEL THAT TIME AND EFFORTS NEEDED TO TAKE PLACE AS FAR AS 10 PUTTING THIS CASE TO EETHER MS FAR AS STATEGY, - I FEEL ASTHOUGH I THAT HAS NOT BEEN DONE I FEEL THERE'S A CONFLICT OF INTEREST 12 HERE, AND IT HAS BEEN FROM THE VERY BEGINING-THE COURT! WHAT KIND 13. OF VISITS? THE DEFENDANT! I HAVEN'T HAD (ATTORNEY) THE VISITS AS 14 FAR AS DISCUSSING THE CASE, -- EFFORTS IN RETRIEVING CERTAIN 15 THINGS, THE COURT! CAN YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC? THE DEFENDANT! YES-MR. THOMAS VIA MY CELL PHONE, BECAUSE MY DAUGHTER WOULD CALL ME ONMY CELLPHONE, AND HE CALLED, THREATENING ME AND I ASKED (MR. ADAIR) -- RETRIEVE AND REVIVE THOSE MESSAGES, WHICH WOULD SUPPORT MY CASE, BECAUSE -- THE COURT; LET ME MAKE 20 SURE I UNDERSTAND THE--THERE WERE CALLS MADE TO YOUR 21 CELL PHONE -- THE DEFENDANT YES, THE COURT AND THEN AT SOME POINT YOU ASKED THE DEFENSE-- THE DEFENDANT! RIGHT, 23 THE AHONE NUMBER IS AREA CODE 619-253-1895, IT'S A SARINT PHONE, SPRINT PCS. SEE EXHIBIT'D" PAGE 25, RT EXCENT 332, LINES 25 8-11,14,15,16,27. SEE ALSO EXIBITO, PAGE 26, RT EXCERPT 333 LINES 26 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 10-17, 19, 20-22, 26. 27 THE COURT: MR. TROCHA; DID YOU HAVE ANY THING ELSE? MR. TROCHA; 28 THERE WAS ONE THING, YOUR HONOR AND THE III TAPES REMINDED ME.

## Case 3:08-cv 00325-LAR-POR - Document 1-6 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 9 of 13 P. O. BOX 5746-CSATHSP-CI-132L COR CORAN CA, 93212

WE DO HAVE UNDER SUBPOENA THE DISPATCHER THAT MR. THOMPS WAS SPEAKING TO -- BE SOME HEARS BY ISSUES, -- MY REQUEST IS TO HAVE HER TESTIFY INITIALLY THAT SHE WAS TALKING 4 TOTME BURTON -- CONTEMPORANEOS STATEMENT TO EXPLAIN 5 THE ACTION, THE COURT: TO CALL IN YOUR CASE IN CHIEF? 6 MR. TROCHA: IT 15, -- WITHOUT GETTING INTO ANY DETAILS OF THE CALL; -- BUT THEN THE CALL JUST ENDED 30 SECONDS 8 LATER SHE GOT A 911 CALL, THE COURT: ACC RIGHT. MR NOALR 9 YOUR RESPONSE TO THAT? MR. ADAR! PERMITED AMBUS 10 OBJECTION, BUT I BELIEVE SHE'S A WITNESS THAT WAS 11 RELATIVELY GATE DIS COVERED OR WHATEVER, I DON'T BELIEVE 12 THERE ARE ANY REPORTS CONCERNING HER STATEMENTS THAT 13 I'VE RECIEVED. THE COURT! WELL, WHY DON'T YOU CONSULT 14 WITH MR. TROCHA ON THAT, DID YOU KNOW THE NAME OF THE 15 WITNESS? MR. TROCHA: I DO IT'S KENDALL HILDENBRAND, 16 THE COURT ALL RIGHT, SEE EXHIBIT D"PAGE 27, RTEXCERM 17 0359, DATED 7-19-05. (MINUTE ONDERS) SEE- ATTORNEY ADAIR MAKES AREQUEST FOR THE 911 TAPES, THE PEOPLE INDICATE THAT 19 THERE WAS NEVER A REQUEST MADE AND THE TAPES WERE 20 RECORDED OUER, ATTORNEY ADAIR MAKES A MOTION TO PISMISS 21 BASED ON DESTRUCTION OF EUIDENCE THAT SHOW THE DEFENDANTS 22 IN NOCENCE THE MOTION IS DENIED, ATTORNEY ADAIR MAKEA 23 DISCOVERY MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF VIDEO FROM THE POLICE CAR. 24 (ANDESIDEFICER KIRKSH HOLMES AT TIME OF DEFENDANTS ARREST) THE 25 MOTION IS DENIED, SEE EXHIBITD, PAGE 27 MILEX CEAST 330, LINES 26 17-25, SEEALSO EXHIBITD", PAGE 23, RT EXCERPT 331, LINES 3,4,6,7,10,13,19,23. 27 MR. ADAIR ; I'LL HAVE TO CHECK AND SEE IF IT'S BEEN AREULOUSLY PROVIDED, BUT WE 28 NEED A COPY OF THE -- 911 CALL TO THE DISPATCHER, MR. TROCHA: AS TO THAT, 29 I DOKNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO THE TAPES, US HANNAH INFORMED ME THAT WHEN 30 THIS CASE ORIGINALLY CAME AROUND, - THE TAPES WERE EVENTUALLY TAPED 3/ OVER. SO THERE ARE NO TAPES, SEE FLED AND SERVED MOTION FOR DISCOVERY FILE STATED 32 7-30-04, EXHIBIT BARGES, 5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16, RTEXCEPTS, 0011-0022, LINES, 1-28 ALL. 33. SEE ALGO EXHIBIT'S, MICE 24, RIEX CENT 0023 (INFORMAL REQUEST 7-6-04FOR DISCOURTY) LINES ALL.

MR. TROCHA! THEY WERE IN AD VER TENLY DESTROYED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, -- BUTAS tO THE EXACT WORDING OF THE CALL, WE BON'T HAVE THAT, THE COURT; ALL RIGHT. -- THERE'S NOTHING TO PRODUCE IF IT'S BEEN DESTROYED, MR. ADAIR WELD ASK THE CASE BE DISMISSED FOR THE DESTRUCTION OF EULDENCE THAT MIGHT TEND TO SHOW MIR. BURTON'S INNOCENCE, THE COURT; WELL, THAT MOTION WILL BE DENIED, AND I THINK THAT THAT MOTION HAS BEEN RAISED BEFORE IF MY MEMORY'S CORRECT, LET ME LOOK BACK, WELL I DON'T, - IT WAS IN ADVER TENLY DESTROYED, AND THE MOTION IS DENIED, SEE EXHIBIT'D", PAGE 24, RT. EXCERPT DOZG (ALLEGED RESPONSE BY MS. HANNAH). NEVER RECIEVED NOR HEARD BY DEFENSE, AS PERTAINING TO MR. ADAIRS TESTIMONY INEX CERPTS) FOR THIS ACTION PETITIONER STIPLLATES TO THIS PORTIONOF EXCENTOR THE ALLECED" RESPONSE ONLY, STIPULATES, LINES 1, 9, 15, 17-21, 23-29, PETITIONER. DENIES ALL OMITTED PORTIONS OF EXCERPTS, AND STANLATE TO HIS PROVIDED R. TEXCERPS IN THIS PETITION FOR FEDERAL H.C. RELIEF, RATHER THAN TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS, STATE'S BY THE LAW, -- IN THIS CASE, THE FOLLOWING ITEMS HAVE BEEN SET OUT FOR DISCOUERY; -- THE PEOPLE HAVE ALSO REQUESTED THE 911 TAPES, ALL OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS, -- AS THE EVIDENCE CONTINUES TO ARRIVE AT OUR OFFICE, CODES WILL BE MADE AND SET OUT FOR THE DEFENSE - CONCLUSION-THE PEOPLE HAVE AND WILL COMPLY WITH PROVIDING TO DEFENSE COUNSEL THE DIS COVERY IN THIS CASE. -- DATED! AUGUST 13, 2004 --RESPECT FULLY SUBMITTED, BONNIE M. DUMHNIS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY (SIGNED) S. MARIA HANNAH DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY --ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, SEE NOW EXHIBIT'D", PAGE 28, RT. EXCEPPT 572, LINES 7,12-14, 16-18, 20-22. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, BUT OTHER WISE IT WILL -- WITH REGARD TO THE OFFICER OR THE DISPATCHER WHOIS GOING TO BE TESTIFYING NEXT, I BELIEVE -- I HAD HEARD MAITAOCHA'S REQUESTIYOU WERE GOING TO RESPONDI -- SO MUCH THAT IT'S NOT BEING OFFERED FOR IT'S TRUTH, BUT IT'S MORE CONTEMPORANEOUS STATE MENTS THAT -- MR ADAIR! WELL I WOULD SUBMIT IT'S NOT-THE COURT: HIS ACTUAL WORDS AS TO WHAT HAS HAPPENED LIKE, --THAT'S PROBABLY HEAR SAY.

Case	PARTONE FOR DOCUMENT 1-6 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 11 of 13 P.O. BOX 5746-CSATE/SP-CI-132L. COLCORAN, CA,	
	SEE EXHIBIT "D" PAGE 29, RT. EXCERPT 574, LINES 21-29, SEE ALSO	
1	EXHIBITO PAGE 30, RTEXCERPT S75, LINES, 6-13, 22-26.MR TROCHA;	
	Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MS, HILDENBRAND, A. (MS, HILDENBRAND, HELLO,	
'	Q, DO YOU WORK AT THE ECCATON POLICE DEPARTMENT?	
	A. I DO, Q, IN WHAT CAPACITY? A, I'M A COMMUNICATIONS	
	OPERATOR FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, Q.(MR.TACCHA); SÆCHTICHLLY WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES? A.(MS HILDENBRAND) I ANSWER	
9	//	
10	YOU ABLE TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE CALLS ? A. YES.	
10	Q, HOW SO? A. THEREIS A DIFFERENT DIAL TONE FOR 911,	
12	A, YES Q, ASA COM OPERATOR? A, YES, AS A COM OPERATOR	
12	SEE NOW EXHIBIT'B" PAGE 39, RT. EXCERPT 578, LINES 19,14,12,13,23,24,25,26.	
	Q(MA. TAOCHA DID MA. THOMAS USE FOUL LANGUAGE? A. (US HILDENBAND) I'M	
15		
1/2	Q, (MR, TROCHA) DID HE SAY GOODBYE OR INDICATE A (MS, HILDEN -	
17	BRAND) AND I RECEIVED IMMEDIATE 91/ CALLS AFTER WARDS.	
	SEE EXHIBIT'D" PAGE 3, R. TEXCERPT 103, LINES 1, 2, 4-29. SEE ALSO EXHIBIT'D" MISE,	
	4, RT. EXCERPT 104, LINES 1-15, 18-21, 23, 27, 28 SEE ALSO EXHIBIT D'AGES RT,	
	EXCERPT 105, LINES 3, 18-19,23-28, SEE ALSO EXHIBITD, MEEG, RT. EXCERPT 166,	
2(	CINES 1-7, 9-18,20-28-SEE EXHIBITO MOR 7, ATEXCERPT 107/150, LINES 15-22	
	SEE EXHIBIT D'PAGE & RTEXCENT 173, LINES 1-14, THE COURT : ALL RIGHT.	
. 27	MY TENATIVE IS AS FOLLOWS: AND THE TENTATIVE IS BASED UPONTHE	
24	AUTOMOBILE EXCEPTION TO THE FOURTH AMENDMENT, MY TENTATIVE	
25	IS FURTHER TO GRANT THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE FROM	
26	APARTMENTNO, 5, CONCLUDING THAT THE PEOPLE, AFTER A FULL	
27	CONSIDERATION AND REVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED, HAVE	
28	NOT MET THEIR BURDEN OF DEMON STRATING TO THE COURTS	
29	GATISFACTION THAT THE ENTRY, SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF ITEMS	
30	WITHIN THE APARTMENT WAS DONE PURSUANT TO A CONSENT	
3	RENDERED BY MR. BURTON . I UNDER STAND THERE'S CERTAIN	
32		
33		
· .a		

MR. EW aBURTON 5-LAB-POR (1-Document 1-6 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 12 of 13 CORANOA193212 THE ONLY ITEMS THAT I'M TALKING ABOUT AS SUPPRESSING ARE WHATEVER WAS FOUND IN OR DERIVED FROM APARTMENT NO 5, PERIOD. MR. ADAIR -- WELL, MISS HANNAH, YOU'VE GOT THE LABORING OAK ON THE MOTION SINCE THIS WAS A WARRANTLESS SEARCH, MINDFUL OF THE COORT'S TENTATIVE, DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD? MS. HANNAH! I DO, YOUR HONDR, YOUR HONDR, REPRESENTING THIS POIN THE POLICE OFFICERS TESTIFIED AFTER TAKING THE DEFENDANT INTO USTODY THAT THEY APPROACHED HIM, -- THE COURT! RIGHT TOOK HIM DOWN, -- THERE WAS NO CONVERSATION THE PATROL VEHICLE ABOUT A CONSENT TO SEARCH. AND THE WAY I VIEW ITIS COPS DON'T HAVE THEIR ACT TOGETHER, AND HOWEVER IT WENT DOWN, THEY EITHER HAVE A VERY POOR RECOLLECTION OR FAIL URE OF RECOLLECTION, AND I DO NOT CONCLUDE FROM THE TESTIMONY OF MR. HEL SEL THAT THERE WAS CONSENT NECESSARILY GIVEN BY MR. BURTON 13 DURING WHAT MR. HELSEL DESCRIBED AS A DIALOGUE AT THE PATROL UEHICLE THAT HE WAS NOT IN A POSITION TO HEAR, THE TESTIMONY OF KENT KIRK WAS CLEARLY THAT THE AURPORTED CONSENT TO SEARCH WAS GIVEN 16 BY MR. BURTON RIGHT THERE IN THE PARKING LOT BEFORE HE WAS EVER ESCORTED TO THE PATROL VEHICLE, AND BASED UPON THE TESTIMONY OF OFFICER 18 HOLMES, -- I'M SATISFIED IT DIDN'T GO DOWN THAT WAY, MS, HAWNAH! YOUR HONDR 19 AGENT KIRK INDICATED -- THE COURT; YOU'VE GOT TO READ THIS IN CONTEXT 20 AND VIEW IT IN CONTERT, I'VE REVIEWED THE TRANSCRIPT AND I'VE REVIEWED 21 MY DETAILED NOTES. MS HANNAH! -- I MEAN, -- THEY TOOK HIM INTO CUSTODY, THEY PUT HIM INTO HAND CUFFS, -- ALL OF THOSE THINGS -- THE COURT, I'M 23 NOT SATISFIED THERE WAS A CONSENT, WHE THER FREE OR VOLUNTARY OF OTHERWISE. THAT'S MY POINT, THE EVIDENCE DOESN'T COME TOGETHER TOCLEANLY SUPPORT YOUR ARGUMENT OR HOW THIS WENT DOWN, MS. HANNAH! YOUR HONOR, -- CORCUMSTANCES 26 THE COURT. NO, -- AS I UNDERSTAND IT, MR, ADAIR, ARE YOU CON CEDING THERE WAS A 27 ONSENT. GIVEN AND THE ONLY ISSUE IS THE YOLUNTAKINESS OF THE CONSENT! 28

Filed 02/19/2008 Page 13 of 13 ORCORANICA,93212 MARDAIR: NO, YOUR HONOR WE'RE SAYING THAT HE NEVER CON SENTED, THE COURT; -PERIOD, MS HANNAH! YOUR HONOR : AGENT KIRK HAS TESTIFIED THAT-THE COURT; I'M MINDFUL OF AGENT KIRK'S TESTIMONY, MS HANNAH! AND THE CURCUMSTANCE, AND EVEN THE SUPPROUNDING CIP CUM STANCES WITH THE PEOPLE'S WITNESSES AND, THE COURT! I'VE LOOKED AT ALL OF IT. I'M NOT PERSUADED . W.R. ADAIR; YOU WISH TO BE HEARD? MR. ADAIR: NO, YOUR HONDR. WE'LL SUBMIT, THE COURT! THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS IS GRANTED IN PART FOR THE REASONS OUTLINED, BY THE COURT, THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS NOT BASED UPONA PUR PORTED THEORY OF CONSENT, BUT RATHER 9 BASED UPON THE AUTOMOBILE EXCEPTION TO THE 4TH AMENDMENT. THE SUPPRESS IS GRANTED, BUT LIMITED, AS I'VE SAID, TO ITEMS 11 DERIVED FROM A LAW ENFORCEMENT ENTRY AND SEARCH OF UNITS, THAT BEING SHOWN BY THE EUIDENCE TO BE MR. BURTON'S APARTMENT, AS 13 TO WHICH RESIDENCE, OF COURSE, HE HAD STANDING UNDER THE 4TH AMENDMENT TO OBJECT PRESENTLY TO THE SEARCH THEREOF AND 15 THE SEIZURE OF ITEMS THERE FROM. XE EXHIBIT D'ARGE NES 1-14. MR. ADAIR : BECAUSE -- CAN I HAVE A MOMENT YOUR HONDRY SURE, MR ADAIR! WE'RE REQUESTING THE CASE BE DISMISSED AS THE FACTS OF THE CASE HAVE NOT BEEN SURSTANTIATED -- THE COURT! WELL, AS TO THAT MOTION, OF COURSE, WE ALREADY HAVE THE 995 20 MOTION, AND IT WAS DENIED, AND THAT WAS BASED ON THE EVIDENCE AT 21 THE PRECIMINARY HEARING, AND THE NEXT STAGE FOR THE COURT TO CONSIDER -- ACTUALLY, THAT'S WHY WE HAVE THE TRIAL, TO DETERMINE 23 SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE THE CASE BEYOND A REASONABLE COURT WILL NOT GRANT THE MOTION TO DISMISS, BUT THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF HAVING A WAY TRIAL, THEY WILL MAKE THE DETERMINATION 26 28

02